## OF RADIATION WITH MATTER

FINAL REPORT

STEVEN T. MANSON

SEPTEMBER 15, 1989

U.S. ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE

DAAL03 86 K 0085

GEORGIA STATE UNIVERSITY

ELECTE OCT.23 1989

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE						
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		16. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS				
Unclassified						
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT				
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		Approved for public release;				
		distribution unlimited.				
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)				
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	66. OFFICE SYMBOL	78. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION				
Georgia State University	(If applicable)	U. S. Army Research Office				
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)					
Atlanta Capacia 20202	P. O. Box 12211					
Atlanta, Georgia 30303		Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211				
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
ORGANIZATION  U. S. Army Research Office  (If applicable)						
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS				
P. O. Box 12211		PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT	
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211		ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	ACCESSION NO.	
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)						
Investigations of the Interactions of Radiation with Matter (Unclassified)						
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Steven T. Manson						
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 1989, September 12 11						
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION The view, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those						
of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position,						
17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)						
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP Photoionization, photoabsorption, ionization, relativistic						
	effects, inela	ects, inelastic collisions, excited states, x-rays, inner				
	shells, ions,		ons, MILLE (	1	Physics. D	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)						
Work on the interaction of radiation with matter is described. In particular charged particle impact ionization of atoms and molecules is discussed, along with photoabsorption						
by excited states and ground stat atoms, and atomic ions.						
by chortees 3 on too and growing 3 one a comby and a comb						
					•	
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT	RPT. DTIC USERS		CURITY CLASSIFICA	ATION		
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS R	1	classified (Include Area Code)	1 22c OFFICE	SYMBOI		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		ZZU. TELEPHONE	muove area code	ZZC. OFFICE		

During the period of this contract from the U.S. Army Research Office, our theoretical research in atomic and molecular physics has proceeded apace. In this report, our major areas of investigation are discussed with the progress made in each area highlighted. The results of the calculations provide further insight into the basic nature of these fundamental atomic processes which are of importance as input data for plasma physics, atmospheric, solar, and astrophysics, radiation physics and therapy, for surface studies and catalysis, for studies of the transport of energy from the site of thermonuclear reaction, for energy-loss electron microscopy, for the production of a nuclear-pumped or x-ray laser, for generation and detection of centimeter wavelengths, and for the passage of particle, UV, or x-ray beams through the atmosphere. The report is as non-technical as possible; ample references are given to published works where the technical details can be found. addition, a complete listing of the 23 publications resulting from this work is presented as an appendix.

#### I. INTERACTION OF CHARGED PARTICLES WITH MATTER

A major step in this area has dealt with electron ejection in ion-atom collisions where both collision partners have electrons. As a prototype, we have considered He<sup>+</sup> + He collisions<sup>1</sup>, since experimental data was available, and we were able to predict the total ejected electron energy (and angular) distribution rather well. The calculation considered electron

ton/ :iiy Codes auc/or

For

SI

4

ejection by both target <u>and</u> projectile so that we were able to delineate which part of the electron distribution came from the target and which came from the projectile; this was not yet available experimentally since the breakdown required a coincidence experiment. From this work, we also learned the importance of the ejection of an electron by one particle and the simultaneous excitation or ionization of the other. Stimulated by these results, a coincidence measurement was made and compared with our calculations.<sup>2</sup> This comparison showed that simultaneous ionization was indeed important, even more important than the calculation predicted, and was the dominant process in certain regions of angle and energy.

We have also made considerable progress in the semi-empirical modelling of electron ejection (8-ray) cross sections in ion (electron)-atom (molecule) collisions with a view to extending existing data. The react is based generally on the Bethe expansion of the cross section thigh impact energies. The leading term in this expansion is related to the photoionization cross section for which there exists significantly more reliable experimental data than for electron ejection cross sections. In the past, have had substantial success applying this technique to protons on atoms, protons on molecules, electrons on atoms, and electrons on molecules. In this work, we have succeeded in using proton data to predict electron impact results. In particular, our predictions for electron impact ionization of water molecules did not agree very

well with experiment<sup>8</sup> investigations of the matter convinced us that the experiment had to be in error; a remeasurement has brought experiment and our result into quite good agreement.<sup>9</sup>

In another area we have continued a project, in collaboration with an experimental group, aimed at delineation of the cross sections for the various "ionization pathways" which lead to multiple ionization in ion-atom collisions. By judicious use of extant experimental and theoretical results, we have been able, in some cases, to unravel the picture and extract cross sections of unmeasured processes. Our previous work on the lighter noble gases has been extended to Xe<sup>10</sup> where there is far less data and multiple ionization dominates. Oddly enough, our theoretical predictions are quite good for double and triple ionization but about 50% high for single ionization. This is unexplained, at present.

#### II. INTERACTION OF ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION WITH MATTER

In the area of relativistic effects our primary goal has been to understand in what ways the non-relativistic photoabsorption results are modified by relativistic interactions and to ascertain the underlying cause(s) of these modifications. Owing to the paucity of experimental results, we have been unable to compare our predictions base on simple relativistic calculations with measurements. Thus, to provide some check, we have performed a number of calculations at the sophisticated relativistic-random-phase approximation (RRPA) level. 11 It has

been predicted, for example, that the zeroes in the dipole matrix elements, generally referred to as Cooper minima, <sup>12,13,14</sup> each of which break up into three zeroes relativistically, exhibits anomalously large energy splittings among the relativistic zeroes. <sup>15</sup> Our RRPA calculation applied to Rn(Z=86) has found the same result; <sup>16</sup> the splitting in the 6p zeroes are almost exactly the same as in the simple Dirac-Slater (DS) central-field calculation. This is important since the existence and vocation of these "Cooper minima" make a major effect upon the cross section both quantitatively and qualitatively.

We have also applied the RRPA to  $Yb(Z=70)^{17}$  and found that resulting cross sections were in good agreement the shape of the experimental cross section; the measured values were relative so comparison of absolute cross sections were not possible. Of particular interest, in this case, was the interchannel coupling which modified the 4f cross section at threshold by about a factor of two.

An interesting feature is predicted to occur in the 3p cross section in Kr(Z=36) in both the simple Hartree-Slater (HS)<sup>14</sup> as well as in Hartree-Fock(HF) calculations.<sup>18</sup> These cross sections show structures unrelated to Cooper minima or delayed maxima. It has been suggested that these structures were artifacts of the HS and HF calculations.<sup>19</sup> Since there is no experiment in the region we have performed RRPA calculations to check on this structure.<sup>20</sup> These calculation do indeed show the structure, indicating that it is <u>not</u> a theoretical artifact. Furthermore,

good agreement with experiment is found where experiment exists at higher energies, indicating that the RRPA result is very likely reliable in this case.

For open-shell atoms, we have initiated a program to explore the effects of the open shell(s) on the photoabsorption in the inner shell threshold regions where the multiplet structure induced by the open shell(s) is of greatest importance.

Calculations have been performed for the photoionization of B(Z=5), 21,22 the simplest atom with an open p-shell. The results show a remarkable deviation from the statistical branching ratios of the various multiplet channels for both 2s and 1s ionization, a deviation which amounts to about 50% near the thresholds and gradually dying out over approximately a 100 eV range. If open-shell effects are so substantial at such low Z, then they are likely to be even more important at higher Z.

In the area of excited state and ionic photoabsorption, we have completed our study of the systematics of the excited states of the alkali atoms. Using simple HS wave functions we have mapped out the phenomenology for Cs(Z=55), 23 especially the multiple minima 24,25 which dominate the near-threshold cross sections. Since there is no experiment yet, we extended these calculations to discrete-discrete transitions where there is some experiment; there is no a priori reason to believe that the model should be better (or worse) for excitation than for ionization. Rather good agreement overall was found for the discrete-discrete transitions. 23

In addition, owing to the lack of experimental data for ionic photoabsorption, we have undertaken calculations at the RRPA level to ascertain the accuracy of the simpler calculations. We have looked at Al, which is dominated by the 2p absorption, thereby yielding a cross section without much structure. 26 This RRPA result is found to be in remarkably good agreement with the simple HS cross section. We have also looked at K', where the cross section is dominated by the 3p subshell which displays a Cooper minimum. 13 In the neighborhood of the minimum, the RRPA and HS cross sections differ very markedly, since each has the minimum at a different energy. Furthermore, the RRPA result is in excellent agreement with a recent K experiment. 27 This experiment allowed us to check a theoretical prediction that inner shell ionization in ions is unaffected by removal of outer shell electrons as a function of photon energy. 28 Although error bars are large comparison of K and K photoabsortion cross sections tended to confirm this prediction. 29

We have also begun a program to investigate photoabsorption of excited states of ions. Simple arguments indicate that in going from neutral atoms to ions the photoionization cross section should become simpler and more hydrogen-like. However, this transition to simplicity need not be monotonic nor rapid. Preliminary results for the excited nf states of the Cs isoelectronic sequence have shown that simple cross sections for the neutral become far more complicated for Ba\* owing to f-wave orbital collapse. Going up 10 stages of ionization to Tb\*10

still does not give cross sections as simple as the neutral. Thus, it is clear that ions are <u>not</u> necessarily simpler than atoms.

#### References

- S. T. Manson in <u>High-Energy Ion-Atom Collisions 2</u>, eds. D. Berenyl and
   G. Hock (Akademiai Kaido, Budapest, 1985), pp. 15-29.
- 2. R. D. DuBois and S. T. Manson, XIV ICPEAC Abstracts of Papers (Palo Alto, 1985), p. 408.
- 3. M. Inokuti, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>43</u>, 297 (1971).
- 4. J. H. Miller, L. H. Toburen, and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A 27, 1337 (1983); S. T. Manson and J. H. Miller in Proceeding of the Workshop on Electronic and Ionic Collision Cross Sections Needed in the Modeling of Radiation Interactions with Matter, ed. M. Inokuti, ANL Report ANL-84-28 (1984), pp. 63-72.
- 5. J. H. Miller and S. T. Manson, J. Chem. Phys. <u>80</u>, 5631 (1984).
- 6. J. H. Miller and S. T. Manson, J. Chem. Phys. <u>79</u>, 2435 (1984).
- 7. J. H. Miller, S. T. Manson, and M. E. Rudd, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. <u>30</u>, 870 (1985).
- 8. J. H. Miller, W. E. Wilson, S. T. Manson and M. E. Rudd, J. Chem. Phys. <u>86</u>, 157 (1987).
- 9. K. W. Hollman, G. W. Kerby, L. E. Rudd, J. H. Miller and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A <u>38</u>, 3299 (1988).
- 10. S. T. Manson and R. D. DuBois, J. Phys. (Paris) 49, C9-263 (1987).
- 11. W. R. Johnson and K. T. Cheng, Phys. Rev. A 20, 978 (1979).
- 12. U. Fano and J. Cooper, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>40</u>, 441 (1968).
- 13. J. Cooper, Phys. Rev. <u>128</u>, 681 (1962).
- 14. S. T. Manson and J. Cooper, Phys. Rev. 165, 126 (1968).
- 15. P. C. Deshmukh, B. R. Tambe and S. T. Manson, Australian J. Phys. 39, 679 (1986).
- 16. P. C. Deshmukh, V. Radojevic and S. T. Manson, Phys. Letters A 117, 293 (1986).

- 17. P. C. Deshmukh, and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A <u>34</u>, 4757 (1986).
- 18. D. J. Kennedy and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A 5, 227 (1972).
- 19. J. L. Tulkki and T. Aberg, J. Phys. B <u>18</u>, L489 (1985) and references therein.
- N. Shanthi, P. C. Deshmukh and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A <u>37</u>, 4720 (1988).
- 21. S. T. Manson and S. K. Bhattacharya, Australian J. Phys. 39, 799 (1986).
- 22. S. K. Bhattacharya an S. T. Manson, XV ICPEAC Abstracts of Papers (Brighton, U. K., 1987), p. 25.
- 23. J. Lahiri and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A 33, 3151 (1986).
- 24. A. Z. Msezane and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>48</u>, 473 (1982).
- 25. J. Lahiri and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>48</u>, 614 (1982).
- 26. G. Nasreen, P. C. Deshmukh and S. T. Manson, J. Phys. B <u>21</u>, L281 (1988).
- 27. B. Peart and I. C. Lyon, J. Phys. B <u>20</u>, L673 (1987).
- 28. R. F. Reilman and S. T. Manson, Ap. J. Supp 40, 815 (1979) and references therein.
- 29. S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A <u>38</u>, 506 (1988).
- 30. J. Lahiri and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A 37, 1047 (1988).

# PUBLICATIONS BASED ON WORK SUPPORTED BY THE U.S. ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE UNDER THE PRESENT GRANT DAAL03-86-K-0085

### May, 1986 - May, 1989

- 1. "Oscillator Strength Distributions for Discrete and Continuum Transitions of Excited States of Cesium," J. Lahirı and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A 33, 3151-3165 (1986).
- 2. "Spectral and Electron Collision Properties of Atomic Ions: Threshold Phase Shifts," C. E. Theodosiou, S. T. Manson, and M. Inokuti, Phys. Rev. A 34, 943-953 (1986).
- 3. "Relativistic Splitting of Cooper Minima in Radon: A Relativistic-Random Phase Approximation Study", P. C. Deshmukh, V. Radojevic, and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A <u>117</u>, 293-296 (1986).
- 4. "Coincidence Study of Doubly Differential Cross Sections: Projectile Ionization in He<sup>f</sup>-He Collisions," P. D. DuBois and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>57</u>, 1130-1132 (1986).
- 5. "Atomic and Molecular Physics VUV and X-Ray Photos," S. T. Manson in <u>VUV and X-Ray Sources for Atomic and Molecular Science</u> (National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1986), pp. 19-31.
- 6. "Quantum Defect Values for Positive Atomic Ions," C. E. Theodosiou, M. Inokuti, and S. T. Manson, At. Data Nuc. Data Tables 35, 473-486 (1986).
- 7. "Extensive Relativistic-Random-Phase-Approximation Study of Photoionization from Atomic Ytterbium," P. C. Deshmukh and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A <u>34</u>, 4757-4761 (1986).
- 8. "Photoionization of Unusual States of Matter: Atomic Ions, Excited States and Open-Shell Atoms," S. T. Manson and S. K. Bhattacharya, Australian J. Phys. 39, 799-807 (1986).
- 9. "Differential Cross Sections for Ionization of Water Vapor by High-Velocity Bare Ions and Electrons," J. H. Miller, W. E. Wilson, S. T. Manson, and M. E. Rudd, J. Chem. Phys. <u>86</u>, 157-162 (1987).
- 10. "Electron Ejection Cross Sections in Electron and Ion Impact Ionization, S. T. Manson and J. H. Miller, Int. J. Quantum Chem. Symposium 21, 2971 (1987).

- 11. "Photoionization of Open-Shell Atoms: Non-statistical Inner-Shell Branching Ratios," S. K. Bhattacharya and S. T. Manson, XV ICPEAC Abstracts of Papers (Brighton, U.K., 1987), p. 24.
- 12. "Photoionization of Excited f-States of Ions of the Cs and Rb Isoelectronic Sequences," J. Lahiri and S. T. Manson, XV ICPEAC Abstracts of Papers (Brighton, U.K., 1987), p. 25.
- 13. "Near-Threshold Structure in the Photoionization of Krypton 3p," N. Shanthi, P. C. Deshmukh, and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A 37, 1773-1774 (1988).
- 14. "Multiple Ionization of Xenon by Proton Impact," S. T.
   Manson and R. D. DuBois, J. Phys. (Paris) 49, C9-263-266
   (1987).
- 15. "Resonances in Near-Threshold X-Ray Photoabsorption of Inner Shells," N. K. Del Grande, K. G. Tirsell, M. B. Schneider, R. F. Garrett, E. M. Kneedler and S. T. Manson, J. Phys. (Paris) 49, C9-951-954 (1987).
- 16. "Photoionization of Escited nf states in the Cs Isoelectronic sequence: A New Example of Orbital Collapse," J. Lahiri and S. T Manson, Phys. Rev. A <u>37</u>, 1047-1049 (1988).
- 17. "Photoabsorption and Photoionization Cross Sections: An Overview of the Current State of Knowledge," S. T. Manson, Proceedings of the SPIE 911, 2-5 (1988).
- 18 . "Cross Sections, Angular Distributions and Spin-Polarization Calculations for the 3p and 3d Subshells of Krypton," N. Shanthi, P. C. Deshmukh and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A <u>37</u>, 4720-4729 (1988).
- 19. "Photoionization of Al Ions: An RRPA Study," P. C. Deshmukh, G. Nasreen and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A 38, 504-505 (1988).
- 20. "An Adionization Cross Sections of Positive Ions," S. T. Manson Phys. Rev. A 38, 506-507 (1988).
- 21. 'Phot ionization of K' Ions," G. Nasreen, P. C. Deshmukh and S. T. Manson, J. Phys. B <u>21</u>, L281-L283 (1988).
- 22. "Differential Cross Sections for Secondary Electron Protection by 1.5 keV Electrons in Water Vapor," K. W. Hollman, G. W. Kerby, M. E. Rudd, J. H. Miller and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A 38, 3299-3302 (1988).
- 23. "Photoionization Cross Sections: Present Status and Future Need," Nuc. Inst. Meth. <u>A280</u>, 173 (1989).